

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,182	02/19/2002	Charles Lange	P01,0383 (H17-25172)	5447
128 7	590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER
HONEYWEL	L INTERNATIONA	TURNER, SAMUEL A		
101 COLUMB	IA ROAD			
P O BOX 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			2877	
	•			

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/078,182	LANGE, CHARLES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel A. Turner	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ga(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the ap	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-8 and 10-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been receing (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot recei	vcu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8.21.03</u> .	6)					

Art Unit: 2877

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 18 April 2003 was scanned into IFW and did not include a PTO-1449. The examiner will, of course, consider the references related to this IDS if applicant can provide a copy of the PTO-1449 that was submitted. The examiner regrets this situation would suggest that in the future copies of PTO-1449's be included, in your proper request, to expedite problems due to the transition to the IFW format.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:


(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-8, and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's prior art figure 1a in view of Udd et al(Optical Fiber Rotation Sensing).

The prior art of applicant's figure 1b teaches a well known closed loop Sagnac type fiber optic gyroscope comprising a broadband source(11), first coupler(12), polarizer(15), second coupler(17), sensing coil(10), phase modulator(19), and a phase sensitive detector(35). A bias modulator driver(20) is included to drive


Art Unit: 2877

the phase modulator at the proper or fundamental frequency  $f=1/2\tau$  to provide a  $\pi/2$  bias to the counter-rotating beams. The modulator is usually driven using either a sinusoidal or square waveform. The output of the synchronous demodulator(23) is used to drive a phase ramp or serrodyne waveform which is added to the bias modulator waveform. The phase sensitive detector(35) includes a photodetector(13,14), synchronous demodulator(23) which provides the gyro output. The synchronous demodulator demodulates the gyro output signal suing the bias modulation drive signal(51). Not specifically taught is a sawtooth waveform used in driving the bias modulator. By closing the loop the gyro is drive by the ramp or serrodyne waveform to a phase null point.



Art Unit: 2877

In the Udd text, Chapter 3 entitled "Signal Processing Techniques" written by B.Y. Kim, specific attention is directed to figure 3.6 which shows several prior art phase modulator drive signals. Included are sinusoidal(a), square(d), triangular(b), and sawtooth(c). All of these different waveforms are used to drive a fiber gyro phase modulator at the proper frequency  $f=1/2\tau$  to provide a  $\pi/2$  bias to the counter-rotating beams. Also note figure 3.5 which includes a symmetrical coupler.



Art Unit: 2877


It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a closed loop to drive the gyro back to the null point of maximum sensitivity by any of the known phase modulation waveforms including a sawtooth waveform.

With regard to claims 7 and 12; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a plurality of modulators instead of combining the ramp or serrodyne waveform with the bias waveform on a single phase modulator thus the different signals can be feed to separate symmetric modulators as found in figure 3.5 of Udd.

# Response to Arguments


Applicant's arguments filed 15 December 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the Kim reference, page 90, a infinite phase ramp would be the ideal signal for nulling the rotation effects of the gyro, see figure 3.9.




Art Unit: 2877


However, clearly an infinite phase ramp is impossible therefor a serrodyne or saw tooth waveform is used to null the effect of rotation. A modulation amplitude of  $2\pi$  is used so that the full waveform can be used to null the effect of rotation and will not otherwise effect the gyro output, either the period(T) or the frequency(f=1/T) can be used to control the null feedback signal see figure 3.10 and page 91.



The bias signal however, is set to produce a phase shift of  $\pm \pi/2$  to bias the gyro to its most sensitive operating point, see applicant's figures 3a, 3b, 4a, and 4b.



Art Unit: 2877



The summing of these to different signals, the nulling feedback signal and the bias modulation signal, at the modulation driver produces a phase shift that is not equal to integer multiples of  $2\pi$  but of  $\pm\pi/2$ . This both biases the gyro to its most sensitive operating point and nulls out the effects of rotation thus maintaining the gyro at this operating point.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

Art Unit: 2877

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2877

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Turner whose phone number is **571-272-2432**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached on **571-272-2415**.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is **571**-**272-1562**.

Any other inquiry of a technical nature, and all inquiries of a general nature or any patent term adjustment should be directed to TC2800 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is **571-272-1585**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).

Samuel A. Turner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877